

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 14927 of 2004

Between:

P.Satyanarayana, Owner of R.K.Sweets
7-1-619/A/37/2A, Revathi Apartments, Gayatri Colony,
Ameerpet, Hyderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,
Tank Bund, Hyderabad
- 2 The Licence Inspector, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,
Ward-7, Circle-V, Khairtabad, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction of Writ more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st Respondent to consider and pass orders on the Petition dated 6-4-2004, filed under Sec220 & 221 of Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955, and consequently declare the action of the 2nd respondent in illegally seizing the article belonging to the Petitioner on 23-3-2004 as bad in law and illegal and consequently direct the Respondents to pay Rs.40,000/- along with interest to the Petitioner towards illegal seizure of Electronic Waving Machine, Chairs, etc., by the 2nd Respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.B.SUDHAKAR REDDY & ASSOCIATES

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.RAMA RAO GANTA

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. None appears for the respondents.

The complaint of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the Licence Inspector served a notice under Section 521(i)(e)(ii) of Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955 (for short 'the Act') demanding him to pay Rs.13,926/- towards annual Trade Licence Fee. The petitioner filed an objection in the form of representation to the Licence Inspector before the first respondent - Commissioner of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, under Sections 220 and 221 of the Act and as the same has not been disposed of by him, the present writ petition is filed.

As no orders have been passed on the representation dated 06.04.2004, the first respondent is hereby directed, if such representation calls for adjudication by him under Sections 220 and 221 of the Act, to consider the same and pass appropriate orders and intimate the result thereon to the petitioner.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

A.Gopal Reddy, J

Date: 24.08.2004

va

To

- 1 The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,
Tank Bund, Hyderabad
- 2 The Licence Inspector, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,
Ward-7, Circle-V, Khairtabad, Hyderabad
- 3 Two CD Copies